

NLRB Adopts Final Rule on Election Procedures; Business Groups File Suit Challenging Rule

NLRB Adopts Final Rule on Election Procedures; Business Groups File Suit Challenging Rule

Insight — 12/22/2011

On December 21, 2011, the National Labor Relations Board adopted a final rule amending its election case procedures. The rule will be published in the Federal Register on December 22, and is to take effect on April 30, 2012.

The rule limits the issues to be considered in NLRB representation cases, eliminates pre-election Board review of regional directors' decisions, and takes other steps the Board says will "reduce unnecessary litigation and delays."

"This rule is about giving all employees who have petitioned for an election the right to vote in a timely manner and without the impediment of needless litigation," said Chairman Mark Gaston Pearce.

The final rule did not include all of the highly controversial measures proposed by a divided Board in its June notice of proposed rulemaking.

Chairman Pearce and Member Craig Becker voted in favor of the final rule, while Member Brian Hayes opposed it. The final rule provides the opportunity for publication of a separate dissenting statement prior to the effective date of the rule.

Even before the NLRB issued its final rule amending the election procedures, two business groups, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce and the Coalition for Democratic Workplace, filed suit in federal court in Washington, D.C. seeking to enjoin enforcement of the rule. *Chamber of Commerce v. NLRB*, D.D.C., No. 1:11-cv-02262, filed 12/20/11. They are also among the business groups who have brought federal court suits challenging the NLRB's notice posting rule, which, absent court intervention, is due to become effective January 31, 2012.

For more information or questions, contact Jeffrey T. Johnson of Holland & Hart's Labor & Employment Practice Group, 303-295-8019, jjohnson@hollandhart.com.

This publication is designed to provide general information on pertinent legal topics. The statements made are provided for educational purposes

only. They do not constitute legal or financial advice nor do they necessarily reflect the views of Holland & Hart LLP or any of its attorneys other than the author(s). This publication is not intended to create an attorney-client relationship between you and Holland & Hart LLP. Substantive changes in the law subsequent to the date of this publication might affect the analysis or commentary. Similarly, the analysis may differ depending on the jurisdiction or circumstances. If you have specific questions as to the application of the law to your activities, you should seek the advice of your legal counsel.