



Jonathan Bender

Partner
303.295.8456
Denver
jsbender@hollandhart.com

Colo. High Court Should Affirm Existing Tax Reporting Regime

Insight — April 24, 2019

On April 9, the Colorado Supreme Court heard argument in two similar state income tax cases, Agilent Technologies Inc. v. Department of Revenue, and Oracle Corp. v. Department of Revenue. Both cases present the question of how Colorado's "water's edge" statutory provision applies to companies with no property or payroll. The cases also involve Colorado's general anti-abuse provision, which affords the Colorado Department of Revenue the discretion, in some circumstances, to allocate gross income and deductions among commonly-controlled corporations to avoid abuse and to clearly reflect income. Finally, the cases address the fundamental issue of whether taxpayers are entitled to rely on express provisions of state statutes and regulations — both of which, in these instances, support the lower courts' conclusions in favor of the taxpayers.

Holland & Hart's Jonathan Bender and Christina Gomez provide analysis on the two similar Colorado state income tax cases heard before the Colorado Supreme Court in the article titled "Colo. High Court Should Affirm Existing Tax Reporting Regime," published April 24 by Law360.

To read the full article, [click here](#).

Bender specializes in state and local tax and commercial litigation matters. In his state and local tax practice, he represents clients before administrative tribunals and courts on sales, use, income, and property tax matters. In his commercial litigation practice, he advises clients in a variety of industries, including mining, real estate, oil and gas, healthcare, and financial services.

As a skilled appellate practitioner and chair of the firm's Appellate Practice Group, Gomez carefully guides clients through the entire appellate process — from preserving issues in the trial court and determining whether and on what issues to take an appeal through appellate stay motions, briefing, oral argument, and other appeal proceedings.

This publication is designed to provide general information on pertinent legal topics. The statements made are provided for educational purposes only. They do not constitute legal or financial advice nor do they necessarily reflect the views of Holland & Hart LLP or any of its attorneys other than the author(s). This publication is not intended to create an attorney-client relationship between you and Holland & Hart LLP. Substantive changes in the law subsequent to the date of this publication

might affect the analysis or commentary. Similarly, the analysis may differ depending on the jurisdiction or circumstances. If you have specific questions as to the application of the law to your activities, you should seek the advice of your legal counsel.