

Outline

- Six "High Risk" Areas in Multiple Award Schedule (MAS) Contracting
 - Understanding the Requirement
 - Illustrating Key Concepts
 - Common Problem Areas
 - Real Life Example
 - Recommended Basic Steps for Compliance
- Attachment A Federal Contracts Report article HOLLAND&HART.

High Risk Issue Number 1 – Commercial Sales Practices Disclosures (CSPs)

Commercial Sales Practices (CSP) Disclosures

The Requirement

- Mandatory contractor disclosure of pricing/sales and discount practices
- GSA's tool to achieve MFC pricing
- CSP-1 Format before initial contract award + each 5-year contract extension
- Exception to CSP submission requirements for MAS contract resellers without substantial sales to the general public

- Key Concepts
 - Submit CSP for all Special Item Numbers (SINs)
 - CSP Chart definitions:
 - Customers
 - Discounts
 - Concessions

- Key Concepts
 - CSP disclosures must be "current, accurate and complete" to avoid "defective pricing" exposure
 - "Current": inclusive of all practices engaged in within 14 days of award
 - "Accurate": no estimates unless identified
 - "Complete": inclusive of pricing practices for all non-federal domestic customers

- Key Concepts
 - Sales transaction data
 - You must understand your sales transaction data in order to prepare proper CSPs
 - Sales transaction data often does not match contract pricing and discount and pricing policy documents
 - 12 months prior to CSP date is "best practices" date range
 Matches OIG request for Pre-Award audit

- Common Problem Areas
 - Incomplete research of sales practices and sales transaction data
 - Failure to archive research of sales practices and transaction data
 - Use of generic customer types vs. actual customer categories employed to process orders

- Common Problem Areas
 - Unexplained numeric discount information
 - Undisclosed non-standard discounts
 - Undisclosed rebate programs and other types of partner alliance benefits
 - Undisclosed customer satisfaction remedies

- Real-Life Example
 - On December 26, 2012, the DOJ announced that W.W. Grainger Inc. agreed to pay \$70 million to settle allegations of defective MAS contract pricing disclosures
 - Grainger's CSP disclosures allegedly understated the discounts it granted to other customers on hardware items and other supplies sold through Grainger's MAS contract
 - http://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/2012/December/12-civ-1545.html

Suggested Basic Steps to Avoid Problems

- Review internal discounting policies and procedures
- Review contracts for all "Customers"
- Review raw sales data
- Involve sales executives and employees charged with approving discounts
 - Interview and initial CSP narratives
- Archive for pre-award "due diligence" efforts

- Suggested Basic Steps to Avoid Problems
 - Documented/enforced practices for standard and nonstandard discounts
 - Broad disclosure and description of non-standard discounting practices
 - Conforming CSP customer designations
 - Certify to "current" and "accurate" but not "complete"

High Risk Issue Number 2 – Price Reductions Clause (PRC)

Price Reductions Clause (PRC)

The Requirement

- Basis of award (BOA) established for on-going price reduction obligation
- Benchmarking to commercial list price
- Benchmarking to BOA customers
- The PRC is triggered if discounts to BOA customers or reductions to commercial list pricing "disturb" GSA's relationship to the BOA

Price Reductions Clause (PRC)

The Requirement

- If the PRC is triggered, you must reduce MAS Contract price on "same date" and for "same duration" as the triggering discount
 - Designated exceptions, e.g., sales to federal agencies or sales above the Maximum Order Threshold
- PRC compliance is especially important b/c the Office of Inspector General (OIG) audits "post-award" PRC compliance during its "pre-award" review of 5-year extension proposals
- Pre-award OIG reviews have increased dramatically, mostly for pricing proposals submitted for 5-year extension

Price Reductions Clause (PRC)

- Common Problem Areas
 - Failure to monitor sales transactions with BOA customers and commercial list price reductions
 - Use of generic customer category descriptions
 - Untrained sales staff
 - Post-award mergers and acquisitions create ambiguity about PRC triggering events
 - Poor communication between sales, finance and contract management functions

Price Reductions Clause (PRC)

- Real-Life Example
- On October 6, 2011, the DOJ announced that Oracle Corp. and Oracle America, Inc. ("Oracle") had agreed to pay \$199.5 million to settle allegations that they failed to pass on "triggering" discounts that Oracle had granted to its commercial customers
- <u>http://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/2011/October/11-civ-1329.html</u>

Price Reductions Clause (PRC)

- Suggested Basic Steps to Avoid Problems
 - Review proposal and award documents for correct tracking customers and discounts
 - If necessary, clarify definitions of tracking customers
 - Negotiate realistic tracking customers
 - Alternative PRC compliance mechanisms
 - Average discount monitoring

Price Reductions Clause (PRC)

- Suggested Basic Steps to Avoid Problems
 - Negotiate exclusions to PRC application
 - Spot discounts
 - New customer acquisition
 - "Etc."
 - Final Proposal Revision (FPR) letter
 - Consider impact of mergers and acquisitions
 - Clarifying letter, e.g., sales by NEWCO employees cannot trigger PRC

Price Reductions Clause (PRC)

- Suggested Basic Steps to Avoid Problems
 - Price and discount monitoring procedures for PRC triggering events
 - Discount approval policies and controls (enforced)
 - Training for commercial sales and bid desk staff
 - Close link from bid desk staff to MAS contract management staff

High Risk Issue Number 3 – Trade Agreements Act (TAA)

Trade Agreements Act (TAA)

The Requirement

- TAA implements several trade treaties granting equal treatment to products from member companies
- TAA exempts product purchases from Buy American Act requirements
- No pricing preference factors are imposed -- you either comply or you can't offer the products
- TAA applies to all MAS contracts
 - Limited waiver for "set-aside" SINs

Trade Agreements Act (TAA)

- The Requirement
 - FAR designated country list (FAR 52.225-5)
 - FAR TAA Certificate (52.225-6)
 - "Substantial transformation" test for products
 - Where contractor is "established" for services

Trade Agreements Act (TAA)

Key Concepts

- Products are eligible for purchase if "substantially transformed" in a TAA-designated country into a new and different article of commerce
- "Substantial transformation" test is not satisfied by simple manufacturing efforts that don't affect the product's "essential use"
- Products "substantially transformed" in nonmember countries ineligible for MAS contracts

Holland&Hart.

Trade Agreements Act (TAA)

- Common Problem Areas
 - Manufacturing locations for "commercial items"
 - Especially high risk product categories
 - Changes to country of origin
 - Multiple countries of origin for same product
 - Internal company systems don't archive historical information
 - Imprecise tests for certain product categories
 - Bad country of origin data from distributors

Trade Agreements Act (TAA)

- Real-Life Example
 - On March 29, 2012, Cable Express Technology ("CXtec") agreed to pay \$2 million to settle allegations that it supplied items under its MAS contract from non-designated TAA countries, including China, Taiwan, Indonesia, Malaysia and Thailand
 - <u>http://www.bizjournals.com/prnewswire/press_releases/201</u> 2/04/16/PH87986
 - Other multi-million dollar "office product" MAS contract TAA settlements

26

Trade Agreements Act (TAA)

- Suggested Basic Steps to Avoid Problems
 Procedures that monitor and update country of origin status for all MAS contract products
 Close link between contract management and procurement and operations functions
 SKU management procedures for products with multiple possible country of origin locations
 Archive for historical country of origin information
 - Manufacturer certification and indemnification
 - Annual reviews

Holland&Hart. 🔨

27

High Risk Issue Number 4 – Unapproved Item Sales



Unapproved Item Sales

The Requirement

- Statutory status of MAS contract program allows simplified ordering procedures in FAR 8.405
 - GSA previously allowed agencies to purchase "incidental" amounts of open market items, but overruled by the courts
 - Standard FAR competition requirements now clearly apply to "unapproved" items included on an order for MAS contract items
 - Usually products, but can also refer to unapproved services and labor service categories

Unapproved Item Sales

- Common Problem Areas
 - Sales staff unaware of approved MAS contract items
 - Training failures
 - No procedures to distinguish approved vs. unapproved items in quotes and proposals
 - Reliance by sales staff on outdated MAS contract pricelists
 - Infrequent modification submissions

Unapproved Item Sales

Common Problem Areas

- Delayed GSA/VA approval of modifications
 - "2 week" standard often not met
- "Mixed" quotes and proposals
 - MAS and non-MAS items on a single quote
- Sales staff not segmented, e.g., same sales employee services commercial customers and some public sector customers in his region

Unapproved Item Sales

- Real-Life Example
- On April 30, 2007, the GSA OIG announced that GovConnection had agreed to pay \$2.55 million to resolve allegations that it had sold unapproved items and failed to pay required MAS contract fees
- GovConnection's MAS contract was also cancelled
- http://www.gsaig.gov/?LinkServID=D309E74C-0AA4-7D09-AF296A1F0CAC924B&showMeta=0

32

Unapproved Item Sales

- Suggested Basic Steps to Avoid Problems
 - Internal procedures to clearly identify any unapproved items in MAS contract quotes and proposals
 - Larger companies automated quote and order entry system for approved and unapproved items
 - Website pricelist identification of approved and unapproved MAS contract items
 - Regular MAS contract modifications
 - Seek to modify or (possibly) reject MAS contract orders that contain unidentified open market items



High Risk Issue Number 5 – Professional Employee Qualifications



Employee Qualifications – Professional Services

- The Requirement
 - MAS contracts with hourly and daily service offerings
 - MAS-approved labor categories identify employee requirements for education, experience, etc.
 - Employees billed under a MAS contract must meet labor category requirements
 - Compliance is regularly examined
 - OIG Pre-Award and Contract Systems audits

Employee Qualifications – Professional Services

- Common Problem Areas
 - Failure to establish procedures to supply qualified personnel
 - Use of labor categories and definitions from other sources
 - E.g., cut/paste from competitor's MAS contract pricelist
 - Use of outdated and incomplete resumes
 - Failure to archive resumes
 - Use of subcontractor employees



Employee Qualifications – Professional Services

- Real-Life Example
 - December 2005, Science Engineering Associates agreed to pay \$9.5 million for billing unqualified employees under an IT services contract
 - http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d06547.pdf
- OIG Report:
 - On March 8, 2013, the GSA OIG issued a report stating:

One-third of FY 2011 MAS preaward audits found that GSA customers were overcharged for professional services [because] employees contracted to provide these services did not have the minimum educational and/or experience requirements required by their contracts

Employee Qualifications – Professional Services

- Suggested Basic Steps to Avoid Problems
 - Internal procedures to verify personnel experience and labor categories when assigning personnel
 - Procedures to collect, verify, update and archive <u>company</u> employee resumes
 - Procedures to collect, verify, update and archive <u>subcontractor</u> employee resumes
 - Regular examination and modification of labor categories to match actual employee skills, experience and certifications



High Risk Issue Number 6 – Industrial Funding Fee (IFF)

Industrial Funding Fee (IFF)

- The Requirement
 - Contract usage fee charged to MAS contract ordering agencies
 - MAS contractors collect IFF from ordering entities and remit to GSA/VA on a quarterly basis
 - All MAS contract items are subject to IFF
 - No IFF on "open market" items + travel
 - Often easier to pay than to exclude from IFF report
 - GSA: MAS contractor's burden to prove orders for MAS contract items by authorized purchasers are not MAS contract orders

Industrial Funding Fee (IFF)

Problem Areas

- Use of commercial order entry system to "flag" MAS contract orders for reporting and payment
- Inaccurate data entry by sales/operations staff
- Inaccurate 3rd-party sales reports from MAS contract "dealers" and lease financing companies
- Contractor Teaming Arrangements (CTAs)
- Blanket Purchase Agreements (BPAs)

Industrial Funding Fee (IFF)

- Problem Areas
 - Government purchase card transactions for MAS contract items
 - Especially web orders
 - Cooperative Purchasing sales
 - "Stale" lists of agreements subject to IFF
 - Eligible User orders from customers other than Executive Agencies



Industrial Funding Fee (IFF)

- Real-Life Example
 - December 2004, GSA canceled the MAS contract held by GovConnection as a result of alleged sales of unapproved items and the underpayment of fees
 - On April 20, 2007, GSA OIG reported that allegations of IFF underpayments and noncompliance with contract scope requirements relating to the GovConnection MAS contract had been settled for \$2.55 million
 - http://www.gsaig.gov/?LinkServID=D309E74C-0AA4-7D09-AF296A1F0CAC924B&showMeta=0



Industrial Funding Fee (IFF)

- Steps to Avoid Problems
 - Procedure to regularly update the list of agreements subject to IFF
 - Procedure for booking MAS orders with correct identifier
 - Procedure to timely obtain and verify 3rd-party MAS contract sales reports
 - Procedures to properly capture and report/pay CTA and BPA orders
 - Order management verification procedure



Industrial Funding Fee (IFF)

- Suggested Basic Steps to Avoid Problems
 - Procedure to capture MAS contract credit card orders
 - Procedure to document contractual basis for non-MAS contract purchases of MAS contract items by MAS-eligible buyers
 - Quarterly review of raw sales data
 - Procedure to preserve and archive IFF calculation efforts



"High Risk" Areas in Federal Supply Schedule Contracting: Country of Origin Requirements in Federal Government Contracts

Questions?



Presented by: Matt Koehl gmkoehl@hollandhart.com www.hollandhart.com 208.383.3965

